

CINCINNATI, OH 45224

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/996,663 11/29/2001 **Daniel Raymond Cerone** 8794 4856 **EXAMINER** 27752 12/15/2004 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE 3727

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/}-
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/996,663	CERONE ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from o, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 S 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati nty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is insufficient structure set forth in the claims to determine a container body or the ramp, how the ramp defines more than one plane, and the ramp's function with respect to the other parts of the container. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Therefore, it is suggested the claims be amended to include structural details to more clearly set forth the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hupp (WO 01/51378).

To the degree set forth in the claims and given the broadest, reasonable interpretation, the inclined surface of the fastener is a ramp. Moreover, the portion 64 is also a ramp that extends from the first end of the closure further having an inclined surface extending out of the plane of the fastener.

Regarding claim 17, a portion of the fastener lies multiple plans, thus defining more than one plane.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed September 13, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

Regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph, while it is agreed it is not necessary to provide "a description of the function of each element with respect to the other elements recited", it is necessary to provide structural details and relationships to clearly set forth the claimed invention. Although the claims are read and interpreted in light of the specification, including the drawings, these details are not read into the claims to determine the structure and the spatial relationships between the structural parts. For instance, It is unclear from the claims how the reclosable fastener is disposed between the container body and the cover to fasten the cover to the container, the difference between the track comprising a fastener portion formed on the reclosable fastener and the reclosable fastener, and how the slider moves along the track to open or close the fastener.

Regarding the rejection in view of Hupp, the claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation. Wherein the claims do not clearly set forth the claimed invention, the container of Hupp anticipates the claims as set forth above.

Again, the claims can only be read and interpreted in light of the specification.

Limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 6. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 7. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

The L	I hereby certify that this correspondence for App U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (
	Typed or printed name of person signing this ce	rtificate	
	Signature		•
	Date		

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (571) 272-4549.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Application/Control Number: 09/996,663

Art Unit: 3727

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148 or may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH December 8, 2004

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727